

RESOLUTION 85-49

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, NASSAU COUNTY, FLORIDA. FINDING THAT THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS FOR THE LONG POINT GOLF COURSE AND THE SUBDIVISION LONG POINT I ARE CONSISTENT WITH THE DEVELOPMENT KNOWN AS LONG POINT, AND THE CHANGES OF THE PROPOSED FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS ARE NOT A SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 380.06 (17) F.S.

WHEREAS, on the 11th day of June, 1985, the Board of County Commissioners of Nassau County passed and adopted Resolution 85-31 wherein they granted the application for development approval of PLM West, Amelia Island South, subject to certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of Nassau County subsequently passed and adopted Amendment Resolutions 85-36 on June 25, 1985, and Resolution 85-40 on July 31, 1985, wherein they corrected errors of omission discovered after review of said Resolutions for development approval of PLM West, Amelia Island South; and

WHEREAS, final development plans have been submitted for the PLM West tract now known as Long Point, and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has reviewed said final development plans for Long Point Golf Course and the subdivision Long Point I and found that the final development plans are not of substantial deviation.; and

WHEREAS, the developer pursuant to Section 380.06 (17) F.S., has submitted the proposed changes to the development of regional impact previously approved for a substantial deviation determination.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT: THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF NASSAU COUNTY, FLORIDA, FINDS THAT THE FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS FOR LONG POINT ARE NOT A SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATION FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF RESOLUTIONS 85-31, 85-36, and 85-40 FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

1. There is no increase in the number of dwelling units.
2. The decrease in the number of dwelling units will not require and redistribution of density.
3. There is no decrease in the area set aside for common open space.
4. There is no increase in the area set aside for common open space.
5. There is no increase in the floor area proposed for non-residential use.
6. There is a probable decrease in the regional impact of the development due to the substantial decrease in the number of residential units.
7. Net changes to two or more types of development will not cumulatively exceed presumptive criteria.
8. There will be no increase in the number of external vehicle trips generated by the development above that which was projected during the original development of regional impact review.
9. There has been no increase in the amount of acreage of the approved development of regional impact.
10. There has been no change in the areas set aside for preservation, buffer and special protection.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 17th day of September,
1985.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

By: John F. Claxton
JOHN F. CLAXTON, CHAIRMAN

Attest:

By: T.J. Greeson
T.J. Greeson
Its: Ex-Officio Clerk